

TRANSMITTAL SLIP		DATE
TO: <i>[Signature]</i>		
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	
REMARKS:		
<i>Ann</i> <i>Paul: See terms attached.</i> <i>If anything comes up re the Church issue, it is all in Klachko's 201 in my safe #512, top drawer. rch</i>		
FROM:		
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	EXTENSION

FORM NO. 241  
1 FEB 55

REPLACES FORM 36-8  
WHICH MAY BE USED.

☆ GPO: 1957-O-438445

(47)

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY  
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY  
SOURCES METHOD EXEMPTION 3828  
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT  
DATE 2007

The following information was obtained from the file:

[

3

11 Nov  
5x - 4750

after his election and a change of pleasantries, Dr. KL congratulated  
him on his election as Chairman of the United Nations and of the  
Soviet Republics on 20th March. He then said that he was  
that he had received from KENNEDY for Dr. KL. He then said that  
in Kiev on 10th March he had asked him several times to come to  
present his views on the situation in the Soviet Union and  
about the situation in the Soviet Union. In the course of the conversation  
said that his young daughter had to stay in Kiev and was going to  
undergo an appendectomy operation; KENNEDY was very sorry to  
hear that and said to pick up his wife and daughter and  
unified and followed by Dr. KL's remark that in the Soviet Union  
sympathy now among the women's position in Soviet Union society.  
KENNEDY then said that he was introduced as chief of the Soviet  
of Education.

After a while and a few toasts, Dr. KL said that as  
she would like to discuss with the  
representatives of the Soviet Union and KENNEDY who were  
all the time very tense but said nothing. The  
were ready to listen and Dr. KL read her memo which she composed of her own  
which was going to it most of the points she discussed with  
with C. of the Soviet Union. One copy she also gave to Dr. KL  
to read. The contents of the memo were quite surprising for  
they were flabbergasted. KENNEDY, Dr. KL, and KENNEDY  
were all very nervous and pale, Dr. KL seemed to be very  
impressed and said "handwritten", so KENNEDY said. Dr. KL  
seemed to be a real "beachshell" for them.

Dr. KL said and said that he would like to reply to the question  
in the report with the last one. He turned out to be the  
KENNEDY said his voice and in quiet and said no time  
to be said that he was thinking just like some people in  
Kiev and he probably dictated for all the points, and that  
he was very nervous and pale, Dr. KL seemed to be very  
impressed and said "handwritten", so KENNEDY said. Dr. KL  
seemed to be a real "beachshell" for them.

See memo  
attached



no one there was no final decision on the matter. He himself was still under the impression of various authorities. Thinking himself a member of the hierarchy of religious cults and he can promise that when he returns to Moscow "her case" will be discussed again. He also mentioned that one should not forget that the latter was an atheist. He replied that he was a convinced atheist but it was not a matter of course. He added that there was a difference between his own attitude towards religious cults and that was concerned with all religious cults, except for Russian Orthodox Church.

She wanted to know whether he presented the matter in this way or in understanding with Cardinal Agazzi. First he asked her what was his attitude and opinion of, or his efforts to re-establish the Russian Catholic Church, then what would be his attitude towards the Soviet Union. He put a direct question whether he was talking on his own behalf. She replied that this was her own attitude. He then asked her how she could assure KENNEDY that the Cardinal was not a member of the hierarchy of religious cults. She replied that she hoped to secure a direct report from Moscow.

He did not press his point any longer and only asked her to say very positively the fact that Cardinal Agazzi was not a member of the hierarchy of religious cults. She replied that she was sure of this. He suggested that Cardinal Agazzi should be a member of the hierarchy of religious cults. She replied that she hoped to secure a direct report from Moscow.

She asked him whether Cardinal Agazzi would return to the hierarchy. He replied that in her opinion the Cardinal would probably return to the hierarchy. He then asked her what she thought of his permanent return. She replied that she thought it was a good idea. He then asked her what she thought of his permanent return. She replied that she thought it was a good idea. He then asked her what she thought of his permanent return. She replied that she thought it was a good idea.

He then asked her what she thought of his permanent return. She replied that she thought it was a good idea. He then asked her what she thought of his permanent return. She replied that she thought it was a good idea. He then asked her what she thought of his permanent return. She replied that she thought it was a good idea.





Dr. A. remarked that they could also come along to the end of the groups of Ukrainians abroad by getting down to the business of forming organizations of this kind. He said that he was not a member of any such organization and said that they were not working organizations and were fighting only for peace.

He also mentioned that next Sunday he was invited to a party given by Ukrainian emigrants in New York. He said that he was not invited but that he would be there because people who invited him were not actually Ukrainian emigrants but so-called "patriotic" or "loyal" communist agents. He did not react to that remark directly but he asked her whether he could meet some true emigrants. He would gladly talk to some of Ukrainian workers and writers abroad and whether it would be possible to invite them to the Division. He said that he would like to meet some of Dr. A.'s friends. Before Dr. A. could reply, the telephone rang and Dr. A. told him that there were no Ukrainians who could come to the Division in this respect. He said that he would be by a request a meeting outside of the Division.

Dr. A. said that he would gladly invite to the Division any of his friends who would be interested in knowing whether the Division was doing any work at all to the very end. He said that he would like to see some of the work and asked whether it was possible to get acquainted with their studies, organizational structure, and the work they were doing.

Dr. A. wanted to have someone from Ukrainian workers organization abroad and when Dr. A. mentioned SOLOVIOV, he said that he would like to see him. He said that he would like to see him.

Dr. A. said that it would be a good idea to invite SOLOVIOV and SOLOVIOV's son. He indicated that he already had met SOLOVIOV.

Dr. A. mentioned a so-called club and said that he would like to see it. He said that he would like to see it.

Dr. A. said that he would like to see it. He said that he would like to see it. He said that he would like to see it.

Dr. A. said that he would like to see it. He said that he would like to see it. He said that he would like to see it.



... and ... said that they were very ... and ... nothing ... with ... and ... published in the ... again ... this ... the ... that he would like to ... or ... he replied that under the circumstances ... not ... for Kiev.

... seemed to be very ... and ... in the debate. Also the ... by ... contrary to the usual procedure ... and ... did not seem to be ... in ... at some points both felt quite embarrassed by ... and tactlessness, as well as lack of proper education in ... they were also not happy about ... and ... of present situation in the ... and ... with ... to suggest him.

... <sup>rather</sup> ... like a typical low echelon propagandist ... a ... background. His talk was aggressive, simple, crude and ... to be on the same beam with ... and ... with each other. ... was a man somewhat on the side ... a ... gentleman, quite engaged and ...

... about himself that he was married, had a ... and ... and Russian; in 1940/41 he was in ... and other ... of West Ukraine; the longest period he stayed ... teaching. He knew also very well ... also ... some time in West Ukraine again ... in the West Ukraine as compared ... he had been ...

... mentioned that in case it will be too cold here in winter he might decide to visit ... in Morocco, ... and ... seemed to be quite friendly by ...

... cultural exchange with ... and ... they were not interested in political ... suggested that ... might ... from ...

11. When asked whether that they continued to attack the anti-semitic and pointed <sup>out</sup> at totalitarian articles in Soviet press, Shapiro replied that Soviet press's article was not in line with Soviet policy towards emigration but rather an individual personal reply.

SECRET

Translation

AUDIENCE WITH VICE PREMIER PETRO T. TRON'KO, 10 NOVEMBER 1965.  
POINTS BROUGHT UP BY DR. MARIA K. AND EVA P.

---

Most of the Ukrainians in the emigration favor contacts with Ukrainians in the UkSSR and see possibilities for mutual cooperation. However, it is necessary to start with a minimal mutually agreed on platform. In this regard let me say that we are concerned with national politics in the Ukraine and not with economic politics (because you yourselves see the economic defects and are continually making changes and borrowing practices from the capitalist world). It is our wish, however, to see distinct changes in the Ukraine:

1. Partnership of Russians with Ukrainians -- as nation and party.

2. Manifestation of Ukrainian national and human dignity. There is much more which can be done today. This is the fault of the Ukrainians -- the party and the cultural intelligentsia. The Government and Party should initiate derussification measures and not wait for the initiative to come from the people. They should talk between themselves in their own bureaus and keep their <sup>official</sup> books in Ukrainian. In the schools and on the streets, one should hear the language of the nation of 45,000,000 people. American scholars predict that Russification soon will conquer all "Soviet people". (I am attaching a news item from the New York Times of 30 October 1965.) It is strange that the Ukrainian Government does not deny such sweeping statements. What are your press reporters for? And it is strange to me that the Ukraine is in union with Russia and permits this Russia to be presented as so imperialistic, even worse than in Czarist times.

3. Large numbers of newspapers and journals (Ukrainian) are changing over to the Russian language. The number of Ukrainian books published is ridiculously small.

4. It is startling, a scandal and a shame how the Ukrainian Party destroyed the Ukrainian Catholic Church (Greek Catholic Church). The Polish Party didn't liquidate

SECRET

the church in Poland because it knew that it would have destroyed Polish individualism. I (M. K.) personally heard several years ago a high Yugoslav official tell several people during a private meeting that the greatest mistake they (the Party in Yugoslavia) made was "to touch the Catholic church" and that they were going to "endeavor to correct this mistake". And we have evidences that their relations are improving. In Italy, France and in other Catholic countries there are large Communist parties but we see there respect for the CATHOLIC CHURCH. ONLY WE UKRAINIANS KNOW HOW TO DESTROY OURSELVES MOST EFFECTIVELY! Abolish administrative (because there is no formal law) KGB prohibition of the Ukrainian Catholic church in the Ukraine and permit it to exist and operate freely, and particularly stop persecuting our Catholic priests. Open at least a few Catholic churches and enter into negotiations with Rome regarding the Patriarchate. Use these historical times for the good and glory of the Ukraine!

5. How can we be mutually helpful in order to improve conditions in the Ukraine?

6. There is no Ukrainian representation (consulate) in America to which the Ukrainian emigration can turn with various questions. The Mission, as Shevchenko, the Ambassador to the United Nations stated, is not for the emigration.

7. Kolosova came, instigated a lot of noise, and everything has died down. Did it surprise you all, and perhaps frighten you, that the emigration did after all treat "Kolosova's brigade" nicely and was pleased with it? How do you in the Ukraine evaluate this "cultural exchange"? Why don't you discuss the main points as to where to begin?

8. The Ukrainian emigration reflects upon such events as the affair of the Minister of Foreign Affairs. That the Minister could be demoted to a lesser position can be understood by the political world. But it really seems strange, and is particularly painful for us, that a nation in which "all is in order" could do without a minister of foreign affairs for such a long time.

9. It is understood that the sudden death of a friend and colleague could upset your circle so much, but don't think it so strange when all sorts of stories are heard and read in the emigration, when you yourselves give a confusing account

SECRET

~~SECRET~~  
-3-

of the cause of the death of Ivan Pedanyuk. Editor Tolopko himself stated on the first page that Pedanyuk was walking down the steps. Others say that he fell off a ladder. Still others say he fell off a chair. You can't afford to make such mistakes.

10. If any of your cultural workers come, when and do you want the emigration to greet them?

11. M. K. and E. P. ask the Vice Premier of the Ukraine to be so kind as to convey these points to the Premier of the Ukraine, to our friend Kolosova, and to all those who decide such matters. We present these points from ourselves personally.

/s/ M. K. and E. P.

*Journal*